

Variational methods in mechanics and E&M

Electrodynamics in Minkowski space

Recall we found the equation of motion of a particle in a Lorentz vector field

$$\frac{dp_\mu}{d\tau} = QU^\nu F_{\mu\nu} \quad (8.1)$$

where

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \quad (8.2)$$

is called the electromagnetic tensor, and its 6 components are actually the \vec{E} and \vec{B} fields:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E^1 & E^2 & E^3 \\ -E^1 & 0 & -B^3 & B^2 \\ -E^2 & B^3 & 0 & -B^1 \\ -E^3 & -B^2 & B^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The interaction term in the Lagrangian (from which we derived Eq. 8.1) was

$$L_{int} = -Q U^\mu A_\mu (\vec{x}(t), t) \quad (8.3)$$

i.e. the vector field A_μ is evaluated at the instantaneous position of the particle.

Eq. 8.3 can be rewritten as

$$L_{int} = - \int d^3x J^\mu (\vec{x}, t) A_\mu (\vec{x}, t) \quad (8.4)$$

where

$$J^\mu(x) = \int d\tau Q \delta^{(4)}(x - \xi(\tau)) \frac{d\xi^\mu}{d\tau} \quad (8.5)$$

is the electromagnetic current density. Clearly, the current density for a collection of point particles is just

$$J^\mu(x) = \int d\tau \sum_n Q_n \delta^{(4)}(x - \xi_n(\tau)) \frac{d\xi_n^\mu}{d\tau}. \quad (8.6)$$

From its very form, $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$.

Now, suppose we want to derive Maxwell's equations of the electromagnetic field (displayed at the right) from an action principle: first we must write them in Lorentz covariant form.

Maxwell's Equations

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = 4\pi \rho$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{J} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = 0$$

Gravitation and Cosmology

Electrodynamics in Minkowski space

From

$$\begin{aligned} E^k &= F_{0k} = -F^{0k} \\ B^j &= -F_{kl} = -F^{kl} \end{aligned} \quad (8.7)$$

(j, k, l are cyclic permutations of 1,2,3), we recover the Lorentz force

$$f^k = \frac{dp^k}{dt} = \frac{d\tau}{dt} (Q U_\nu F^{k\nu}) = -Q F^{0k} - Q \sum_{l=1}^3 u^l F^{kl} = Q E^k + Q [\vec{u} \times \vec{B}]^k.$$

The first two (the pair with sources) of Maxwell's equations can then be written

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 F^{00} + \partial_k F^{k0} &= \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = 4\pi\rho = 4\pi J^0 \\ \partial_0 F^{0k} + \partial_l F^{lk} &= -\frac{\partial E^k}{\partial t} + \epsilon^{klj} \partial_l B^j = 4\pi J^k \end{aligned} \quad (8.8)$$

$$\therefore \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 4\pi J^\nu$$

Eq. 8.8 has the *form* of a Lorentz covariant equation, since ∂_μ and J^ν are both 4-vectors under Lorentz transformation.

The second (homogeneous) pair of Maxwell's equations can be written

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_\nu F_{\sigma\lambda} = 0 \quad (8.9)$$

where $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$ is the totally antisymmetric (with respect to any pair of indices) tensor, defined so that $\epsilon^{0123} = 1$. Non-zero elements are ± 1 , obviously.

Clearly Eq. 8.9 and Eq. 8.8 are covariant *iff* $F_{\mu\nu}$ is a tensor. Is it? Anyone?

To show $F_{\mu\nu}$ is a tensor, it is enough to show A_μ is a vector. How do we do it? Go back to Maxwell's equations and let

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{B} &= \nabla \times \vec{A} \\ \vec{E} &= -\nabla A^0 - \partial_t \vec{A} \end{aligned} \quad (8.10)$$

Then the last two Maxwell's equations are automatically satisfied, and the first two give

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^\nu = 4\pi J^\nu + \partial^\nu \Lambda \quad (8.11)$$

where

$$\Lambda = \partial_\mu A^\mu = \partial_t A^0 + \nabla \cdot \vec{A}. \quad (8.12)$$

Clearly we can always add some $\nabla \tilde{\Lambda}$ to \vec{A} because this can't change \vec{B} ; and we can then add $-\partial_t \tilde{\Lambda}$ to \vec{E} because then \vec{E} doesn't change. The result is called a *gauge transformation*. Then

$$\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda - \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \tilde{\Lambda}.$$

Gravitation and Cosmology

Lecture 8: Variational methods in mechanics and E&M

Since $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is clearly a scalar, we can always choose $\Lambda = 0$ (if it isn't 0, find an appropriate $\tilde{\Lambda}$ that makes it so). If we do this, the choice is manifestly Lorentz invariant and so

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^\nu = 4\pi J^\nu. \quad (8.13)$$

But since J^μ is a 4-vector, A^μ must also be one. Hence $F^{\mu\nu}$ is a tensor. QED.

Principle of Least Action

To have a Lorentz invariant action, we must write

$$A = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(A^\mu, \partial_\nu A^\mu) \quad (8.14)$$

where \mathcal{L} is a scalar under Lorentz transformation. It has to be (at least) quadratic in A_μ and have no more than first derivatives of A^μ , in order to give Maxwell's equations when varied.

The possibilities are

$$F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$A^\mu A_\mu$$

$$(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2$$

...

Only $F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$ is gauge invariant, hence it is the only possible term[†]. In the homework problems we saw that

$$F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = 2(\vec{B} \cdot \vec{B} - \vec{E} \cdot \vec{E}). \quad (8.15)$$

That is,

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{const} \times F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}.$$

What is the constant? We now figure this out. The energy of a system can be derived from the Lagrangian by the transformation

$$H = \dot{q} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}} - \mathcal{L}. \quad (8.16)$$

H is called the *Hamiltonian*. The analog for deriving Hamiltonian density \mathcal{H} from a Lagrangian density is

[†] ...actually, the term $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\sigma\lambda}$ is gauge-invariant, but it has odd parity under reflections. Since the electromagnetic interaction conserves parity, such a term would have to appear to the second power, but this would lead to a nonlinear electromagnetic theory, for which we have no experimental evidence at the macroscopic level.

Gravitation and Cosmology

Principle of Least Action

$$H = \dot{q}(x) \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}(x)} - L, \quad (8.17)$$

where, of course, if there is more than one field $q(x)$, we sum over all. Now specialize to EM fields in vacuum—in the absence of sources we can choose $A^0 = 0$, so find

$$L = 2 \times \text{const} \times \left[\left(\nabla \times \vec{A} \right)^2 - \left(\partial_t \vec{A} \right)^2 \right] \quad (8.18)$$

or

$$H = -2 \times \text{const} \times \left[\left(\vec{B} \right)^2 + \left(\vec{E} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (8.19)$$

But we also know, from integrating the work done moving charges in electric and magnetic fields, that the energy density of the electromagnetic field is

$$U = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\left(\vec{B} \right)^2 + \left(\vec{E} \right)^2 \right], \quad (8.20)$$

hence

$$\text{const} = \frac{-1}{16\pi},$$

$$L_{EM} = \frac{-1}{16\pi} (F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}).$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for the electromagnetic field are thus (by an easy generalization from the particle case)

$$\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial A_{\nu, \mu}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial A_\nu} = 0; \quad (8.21)$$

taking the sum of pure-field and interaction Lagrangians to be

$$L = \frac{-1}{16\pi} (F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}) - J^\nu A_\nu, \quad (8.22)$$

we find, as before,

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 4\pi J^\nu. \quad (8.23)$$