

PHYS 725 HW #1. Due 13 September 2001

1. Riley 5.4 Part (a):

Rescale:

$$x = a\xi, \quad y = b\eta;$$

then

$$A = ab \int_{-1}^1 d\eta \int_{-\sqrt{1-y^2}}^{\sqrt{1-y^2}} d\xi = 4ab \int_0^1 d\eta \sqrt{1-\eta^2}.$$

Now let

$$\eta = \sin \theta, \quad d\eta = \cos \theta d\theta$$

and we see

$$A = 4ab \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \cos^2 \theta = ab \int_0^{\pi} d\theta (1 + \cos \theta) = \pi ab.$$

Part (b): If we consider a slice of thickness dz at position z we see that

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1 - \frac{z^2}{c^2},$$

so that the volume of the slice is

$$dV = \pi ab \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{z^2}{c^2}} \right)^2 dz.$$

We are to integrate this from $z = -c$ to $z = c$; hence with $z = c\zeta$,

$$V = 2\pi abc \int_0^1 d\zeta (1 - \zeta^2) = \frac{4\pi}{3} abc.$$

2. Riley 5.5

Part (a):

$$\Psi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} a^{-3/2} e^{-r/a}$$

$$\int d^3r |\Psi_1|^2 = \frac{4\pi}{\pi} a^{-3} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 e^{-2r/a} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty d\rho \rho^2 e^{-\rho} = 1.$$

$$\Psi_2 = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{64\pi}} (a)^{-5/2} r e^{-r/2a} \sin \theta e^{i\varphi}$$

$$\int d^3r |\Psi_2|^2 = \frac{(8\pi/3)}{64\pi} a^{-5} \int_0^\infty dr r^4 e^{-r/a} = \frac{4!}{24} = 1.$$

Part (b):

$$\begin{aligned} p_x &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} a^{-8/2} \int d^3r e^{-r/a} q r^2 e^{-r/2a} \sin^2 \theta \cos \varphi e^{i\varphi} \\ &= -\frac{qa}{8\pi} \int_0^\infty d\rho \rho^4 e^{-3\rho/2} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin^3 \theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \cos^2 \varphi \\ &= -\frac{qa}{8\pi} \pi \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^5 4 = -qa \left(2^7/3^5\right). \end{aligned}$$

3. Riley 5.6

Part (a):

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \mu \iint dx dy (x^2 + y^2) = 2\pi\mu \int_0^R dr r (x^2 + y^2) \\ &= 2\pi\mu \int_0^R dr r^3 = \frac{2\pi\mu R^4}{4} = \frac{1}{2} R^2 (\pi\mu R^2) = \frac{1}{2} MR^2 \end{aligned}$$

Part (b):

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \mu \iint dx dy x^2 = \mu \int_0^R dr r^3 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \cos^2 \theta \\ &= \frac{\pi \mu R^4}{4} = \frac{1}{4} R^2 (\pi \mu R^2) = \frac{1}{4} M R^2 . \end{aligned}$$

4. Riley 5.7

Note that the problem is wrongly stated. *Moral homily # 42c states that you must be wary of formulas found in texts or journal articles. This is an example.*

The correct definition of the moment of inertia about an axis is

$$I_z \stackrel{df}{=} \int dm (\hat{z} \times \vec{r})^2$$

where \hat{z} is any axis of rotation you choose. So for the cylinder we have the moment about the symmetry axis is the same as for a disk, namely

$$I_z \stackrel{df}{=} \int dm (\hat{z} \times \vec{r})^2 = \frac{1}{2} M a^2 .$$

The moment of inertia about an axis \perp the symmetry axis and passing through the center of mass can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} I_x &= \int dm (\hat{x} \times \vec{r})^2 = \int dm (y^2 + z^2) \\ &= \rho \int_{-b}^b dz \left(\pi a^2 z^2 + \frac{\pi}{4} a^4 \right) = M \left(\frac{a^2}{4} + \frac{b^2}{3} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Notice that Riley's answer is wrong.

5. Riley 3.4

(a) (Comparison test)

$$\left| \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{2 \sin n\theta}{n(n+1)} \right| \leq \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{2}{n(n+1)} = 2.$$

(b) (Integral test)

$$\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{2}{n^2} \leq 2 + 2 \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2} = 4.$$

(c) (Integral test)

$$\sum_1^N \frac{1}{2n^{1/2}} \geq \int_1^N \frac{dx}{2\sqrt{x}} = (\sqrt{N} - 1) \rightarrow \infty.$$

(d) (Weierstrass's theorem)

$$\sum_2^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \sqrt{n^2 + 1}}{n \ln n} \equiv \sum_2^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \sqrt{1 + 1/n^2}}{\ln n}$$

and

$$\frac{\frac{\sqrt{1+1/(n+1)^2}}{\ln(n+1)}}{\frac{\sqrt{1+1/n^2}}{\ln n}} = \frac{\ln n}{\ln(n+1)} \sqrt{\frac{1+1/(n+1)^2}{1+1/n^2}} < 1.$$

That is, we have a series of terms that decrease monotonically in magnitude and alternate in sign, hence it converges.

(e) (Ratio test) Manifestly, since $n! \rightarrow n^n$ it dominates n^p for any finite p . Thus the ratio of successive terms is

$$\frac{(n+1)^p}{n^p} \frac{1}{n+1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{p}{n(n+1)} + \dots \rightarrow 0 < 1.$$

Or in other words, the *radius of convergence* is infinite.

6. Riley 3.5

- (a) From the ratio test we see that the radius of convergence is 1 so that the series converges for all $|x| < 1$. Of course we can sum the series using

$$f(x) = \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n+1}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} (xf(x)) = \sum_1^{\infty} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x} - 1$$

$$f(x) = -\frac{\ln(1-x)}{x} - 1,$$

and see that it goes bad at $x = +1$. Even for $x = -1$ the series converges by Weierstrass's theorem, but it diverges for $x < -1$. The answer is therefore $-1 \leq x < 1$.

(b)
$$\sum_1^{\infty} (\sin x)^n = \frac{\sin x}{1 - \sin x}.$$

Clearly the series converges for $-\pi/2 < x < \pi/2$, diverges for $x = \pi/2$, and is indefinite for $x = -\pi/2$.

- (c) The terms are all positive. They are increasing or constant for $x \geq 0$ so we must have $x < 0$. However, the integral test gives

$$\sum_1^{\infty} n^x \geq \int_1^{\infty} du u^x + \text{constant} = \left(\frac{u^{x+1}}{x+1} \right) \Big|_1^{\infty} + \text{constant}$$

hence we must have $x < -1$ for this to be finite. The case $x = -1$ is excluded by the fact that this is the harmonic series, known to be divergent.

(d)
$$\sum_1^{\infty} e^{nx} = \frac{e^x}{1 - e^x};$$

we see immediately that for $x \geq 0$ the series is divergent, but for $x < 0$, $e^x < 1$ so the series manifestly converges for $x < 0$.

- (e) Clearly we must assume $x < 0$ since otherwise the terms are increasing. In fact, by the comparison test we can see that $x < -1$ since for $x = -1$ the harmonic series is a lower bound. Let us therefore apply the integral test to the case $x < -1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_2^{\infty} (\ln n)^x &\geq \int_2^{\infty} du (\ln u)^x \\ &= [u (\ln u)^x]_2^{\infty} - x \int_2^{\infty} du (\ln u)^{x-1}; \\ &> \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N}{(\ln N)^{|x|}} + \text{constant} \\ &\sim \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{N^{1/|x|}}{\ln N} \right)^{|x|} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

However, for any positive power—say $\alpha = 1/|x|$ —it is true that for large enough N , $N^\alpha > \ln N$ so we know that the lower bound diverges. In other words, there is no real value of x for which the series converges.

- (f) Riley 3.6: Manifestly,

$$B = A(1-r) \sum_0^{\infty} (re^{i\varphi})^n = \frac{A(1-r)}{1-re^{i\varphi}},$$

therefore

$$|B|^2 = \left| \frac{A(1-r)}{1-re^{i\varphi}} \right|^2 = |A|^2 \frac{(1-r)^2}{1-2r \cos \varphi + r^2}.$$

7. Riley 3.8: Let $u = 0.5(a-b)$ and $v = 0.5(a+b)$. Then $a = u+v$ and $b = v-u$. Assuming u is small in magnitude, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \frac{a}{b} &= \ln \left(\frac{v+u}{v-u} \right) = \ln \left(\frac{1+u/v}{1-u/v} \right) \\ &\approx 2\frac{u}{v} + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{u}{v} \right)^3 + \dots = 2 \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b} \right)^3 \end{aligned}$$

8. Riley 3.12:

$$\begin{aligned} V_i &= \frac{q_i}{R} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_j}{j} + \sum_{j=-1}^{-\infty} \frac{q_j}{|j|} \right) = \frac{2e^2}{R} \operatorname{sgn}(q_i) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j} \\ &= -\frac{2e^2}{R} \operatorname{sgn}(q_i) \ln 2. \end{aligned}$$

9. Riley 3.13:

$$\begin{aligned} \coth x - \frac{1}{x} &= \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{24} + \dots}{1 + \frac{x^2}{6} + \frac{x^4}{120} + \dots} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{24} + \dots - 1 - \frac{x^2}{6} - \frac{x^4}{120} - \dots}{1 + \frac{x^2}{6} + \frac{x^4}{120} + \dots} \right) \\ &= x \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + x^2 \left(\frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{120} \right) + \dots}{1 + \frac{x^2}{6} + \dots} \right) \\ &\approx \frac{x}{3} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{10} \right) \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{6} \right) \approx \frac{x}{3} - \frac{x^3}{45}. \end{aligned}$$