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Pion Production
We have mentioned how, using a synchrocyclotron, it is possible to accelerate protons to relativistic speeds. The rest energy of a proton $m_p c^2$ is 938 MeV, using here the standard high energy physics energy unit: 1 MeV = $10^6$ eV. The neutron is a bit heavier—$m_n c^2 = 940$ MeV. (The electron is 0.51 MeV). Thus to accelerate a proton to relativistic speeds implies giving it a K.E. of order 1,000 MeV, or 1 GeV.

The standard operating procedure of high energy physicists is to accelerate particles to relativistic speeds, then smash them into other particles to see what happens. For example, fast protons will be aimed at protons at rest (hydrogen atoms, in other words—the electron can be neglected). These proton-proton collisions take place inside some kind of detection apparatus, so the results can be observed. One widely-used detector is the bubble chamber: a transparent container filled with a superheated liquid. The electric field of a rapidly moving charged particle passing close to a molecule can dislodge an electron, so an energetic particle moving through the liquid leaves a trail of ionized molecules. These give centers about which bubbles can nucleate. The bubbles grow rapidly and provide a visible record of the particle’s path.

What is actually observed in $p$-$p$ scattering at relativistic energies is that often more particles come out than went in—particles called pions, $\pi^+$, $\pi^0$, $\pi^-$ can be created. The $\pi^0$ is electrically neutral, the $\pi^+$ has exactly the same amount of charge as the proton. It is found experimentally that total electric charge is always conserved in collisions, no matter how many new particles are spawned, and total baryon number (protons + neutrons) is conserved.

Possible scenarios include:

$$p + p \rightarrow p + p + \pi^0$$

and

$$p + p \rightarrow p + n + \pi^+.$$
figure out just how much energy the incoming proton needs to create a neutral pion is to
go to the center of mass frame, where initially two protons are moving towards each
other with equal and opposite velocities, there is no total momentum. Obviously, in this
frame the least possible K.E. must be just enough to create the $\pi^0$ with all the final state
particles ($p, p, \pi^0$) at rest. Thus if the relativistic mass of the incoming protons in the center
of mass frame is $m$, the total energy

$$E = 2mc^2 = 2m_p c^2 + m_\pi c^2.$$  

Putting in the proton and pion masses from above, and using $m = m_p / \sqrt{1 - (v^2 / c^2)}$, we
find the two incoming protons must both be traveling at 0.36 $c$.

Recall that this is the speed in the center of mass frame, and for practical purposes, like
designing the accelerator, we need to know the energy necessary in the “lab” frame—that
in which one of the protons is initially at rest. The two frames obviously have a relative
speed of 0.36$c$, so to get the speed of the incoming proton in the lab frame we must add a
velocity of 0.36$c$ to one of 0.36$c$ using the relativistic addition of velocities formula,
which gives 0.64$c$. This implies the incoming proton has a relativistic mass of 1.3 times
its rest mass, and thus a K.E. around 280 MeV.

Thus to create a pion of rest energy 135 MeV, it is necessary to give the incoming proton
at least 290 MeV of kinetic energy. This is called the “threshold energy” for pion
production. The “inefficiency” arises because momentum is also conserved, so there is
still considerable K.E. in the final particles.

**Antiproton Production**

On raising the energy of the incoming proton further, more particles are produced,
including the “antiproton”—a negatively charged heavy particle which will annihilate a
proton in a flash of energy. It turns out experimentally that an antiproton can only be
produced accompanied by a newly created proton,

$$p + p \rightarrow p + p + p + \bar{p}$$

Notice we could have conserved electric charge with less energy with the reaction

$$p + p \rightarrow p + p + \pi^+ + \bar{p}$$

but this doesn’t happen—so charge conservation isn’t the only constraint on which
particles can be produced. In fact, what we are seeing here is experimental confirmation
that the conservation of baryon number, which at the low energies previously discussed
in the context of pion production just meant that the total number of protons plus
neutrons stayed fixed, is generalized at high energies to include antiparticles with
negative baryon number, -1 for the antiproton. Thus baryon number conservation
becomes parallel to electric charge conservation, new particles can always be produced at
high enough energies provided the total new charge and the total new baryon number are
both zero. (Actually there are further conservation laws which become important when more exotic particles are produced, which we may discuss later.) We should emphasize again that these are experimental results gathered from examining millions of collisions between relativistic particles.

One of the first modern accelerators, built at Berkeley in the fifties, was designed specifically to produce the antiproton, so it was very important to calculate the antiproton production threshold correctly! This can be done by the same method we used above for pion production, but we use a different trick here which is often useful. We have shown that on transforming the energy and momentum of a particle from one frame to another

\[ E^2 - c^2 p^2 = E'^2 - c^2 p'^2 \]

Since the Lorentz equations are linear, if we have a system of particles with total energy \( E \) and total momentum \( p \) in one frame, \( E', p' \) in another, it must again be true that

\[ E^2 - c^2 p^2 = E'^2 - c^2 p'^2. \]

We can use this invariance to get lab frame information from the center of mass frame. Noting that in the center of mass (CM) frame the momentum is zero, and in the lab frame the momentum is all in the incoming proton,

\[ E_{cm} = \left( (m_{in} + m_0) c^2 \right)^2 - c^2 p_{in}^2 \]

where here \( m_0 \) is the proton rest mass, \( m_{in} \) is the relativistic mass of the incoming proton. At the antiproton production threshold, \( E_{cm} = 4m_0c^2 \), so

\[ 16m_0^2c^4 = m_{in}^2c^4 + 2m_{in}c^2m_0c^2 + m_0^2c^4 - c^2 p_{in}^2 \]

and using

\[ m_{in}^2c^4 - c^2 p_{in}^2 = m_0^2c^4 \]

we find

\[ 2(m_{in}c^2)(m_0c^2) + 2(m_0c^2)^2 = 16(m_0c^2)^2, \]

so

\[ m_{in}c^2 = 7m_0c^2. \]

Therefore to create two extra particles, with total rest energy \( 2m_0c^2 \), it is necessary for the incoming proton to have a kinetic energy of \( 6m_0c^2 \). The Berkeley Gevatron had design energy 6.2 GeV.

As we go to higher energies, this “inefficiency” gets worse—consider energies such that the kinetic energy >> rest energy, and assume the incoming particle and the target
particle have the same rest mass, \( m_0 \), with the incoming particle having relativistic mass \( m \).

The same collision as viewed in the CM and LAB frames.

Comparing the center of mass energy with the lab energy at these high energies,

\[
E_{\text{LAB}} = (m + m_0) c^2, \\
E_{\text{CM}}^2 = E_{\text{LAB}}^2 - p_{\text{LAB}}^2 c^2 \\
= m^2 c^4 + 2mc^2 m_0 c^2 + m_0^2 c^4 - p_{\text{LAB}}^2 c^2 \\
= 2m_0 c^2 (mc^2 + m_0 c^2).
\]

For \( m \gg m_0 \),

\[
E_{\text{CM}}^2 \approx 2m_0 c^2 mc^2 \approx 2m_0 c^2 E_{\text{LAB}}
\]

so

\[
E_{\text{CM}} \approx \sqrt{2m_0 c^2 E_{\text{LAB}}},
\]

ultimately one must quadruple the lab energy to double the center of mass energy.