Measuring the Pion Substructre with Radiative Positronic Pion Decays Dissertation Committee Meeting

L. Peter Alonzi III

University of Virginia - PEN Collaboration

17th of April, 2012



Outline

- The Physics of Pions
- The contribution of the PEN collaboration
 - $\pi^+ \to {\rm e}^+ \nu_{\rm e} \gamma$
 - Simulation

Feynman's Analogy

Imagine you are watching a game of chess; except you do not know the rules, and cannot see the whole board.



Street Chess by Petr Kratochvil

All of Physics







1928: Quantization $i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi = m\psi$



1915 – 1967: Symmetries SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y

The Actors



Fermilab 95-759

What's Next?



What Does a Pion Look Like?



 $http://teachers.web.cern.ch/teachers/archiv/HST2006/bubble_chambers/BCWebIntro.htm \\$

What Does a Pion Look Like?



The Pion Frontier



- Testing Yukawa's hypothesis
- Mass of the W boson
- Weak Symmetry (V-A)



- Weak Lepton Universality
- Pion Structure (F_A/F_V)
- Standard Model Tests

Global Context $\pi \to e\nu$

THEORY:
$$BR = rac{\Gamma(\pi \to e\nu(\gamma))}{\Gamma(\pi \to \mu\nu(\gamma))} = rac{g_e}{g_\mu} rac{m_e^2(m_\pi^2 - m_e^2)}{m_\pi^2(m_\pi^2 - m_\mu^2)} =$$

 $\begin{cases} (1.2352 \pm 0.0005) \times 10^{-4} & \text{Marciano and Sirlin, [PRL$ **71** $(1993) 3629]} \\ (1.2354 \pm 0.0002) \times 10^{-4} & \text{Finkemeier, [Phys. Lett. B$ **387** $(1996) 391]} \\ (1.2352 \pm 0.0001) \times 10^{-4} & \text{Cirigliano and Rosell, [PRL$ **99** $, 231801 (2007)]} \end{cases}$

EXPERIMENT [PDG]: $BR = (1.230 \pm 0.004) \times 10^{-4}; \frac{\delta BR}{BR} \approx 3.3 \times 10^{-3}$

$$\left(\mathsf{PEN GOAL:} \ \frac{\delta BR}{BR} < 5 \times 10^{-4} \right)$$

L.P. Alonzi (UVa–PEN)

17th of April, 2012 9 / 26

Branching Ratio Analysis: $\pi^+ ightarrow e^+ u \gamma$

$$BR_{\pi \to \mathrm{ev}_{\mathrm{e}}\gamma} = BR_{\pi \to \mathrm{ev}_{\mathrm{e}}} \left(\frac{N_{\pi \to \mathrm{ev}_{\mathrm{e}}\gamma}}{A_{\pi \to \mathrm{ev}_{\mathrm{e}}\gamma}}\right) \left(\frac{A_{\pi \to \mathrm{ev}_{\mathrm{e}}}}{N_{\pi \to \mathrm{ev}_{\mathrm{e}}}}\right)$$

•
$$BR_{\pi \to e\nu_e}$$

- $N_{\pi \to e \nu_e}$
- $A_{\pi \to e \nu_e}$
- $N_{\pi \to e \nu_e \gamma}$
- $A_{\pi \to e \nu_e \gamma}$

The BR only makes sense for given kinematic regions!

Kinematics of $\pi^+ \to {\rm e}^+ \nu_{\rm e} \gamma$



- Momentum Conservation (3)
- Energy Conservation (1)
- Particles (3)
- Arbitrary Rotation (3)
- 2 DOF remain

We measure 3 observables:

- photon energy (E_{γ}, x)
- positron energy (E_{e}, y)
- opening angle $(\cos \Theta_{e\gamma})$



Kinematics of $\pi^+ \to {\rm e}^+ \nu_{\rm e} \gamma$



12 free parameters:

- Momentum Conservation (3)
- Energy Conservation (1)
- Particles (3)
- Arbitrary Rotation (3)
- 2 DOF remain

We measure 3 observables:

- photon energy (E_{γ}, x)
- positron energy $(E_{\rm e}, y)$
- opening angle $(\cos \Theta_{e\gamma})$

Total Differential Decay Rate for $\pi^+ ightarrow { m e}^+ u_{ m e} \gamma$



$\mathcal{M}(\pi \to \mathrm{e}^+ \nu_\mathrm{e} \gamma) = \mathcal{M}_{\textit{SD}} + \mathcal{M}_{\textit{IB}}$



Structure Dependent Component



$$\frac{d^2\Gamma_{SD}}{dxdy} = \frac{\alpha}{8\pi}\Gamma_{\pi\to ev} \left(\frac{m_{\pi}}{m_e}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}}\right)^2 \left[(F_V + F_A)^2 SD^+(x,y) + (F_V - F_A)^2 SD^-(x,y)\right]$$

Inner Bremsstrahlung Component



L.P. Alonzi (UVa-PEN)

17th of April, 2012 15 / 26













$$\left(\frac{d^2\Gamma_{SD}}{dxdy} = \frac{\alpha}{8\pi}\Gamma_{\pi\to\text{ev}}\left(\frac{m_{\pi}}{m_{\text{e}}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}}\right)^2 \left[(F_V + F_A)^2 SD^+(x,y) + (F_V - F_A)^2 SD^-(x,y)\right]\right)$$

Measuring F_V and F_A

$$\left(\chi^2 = \sum_{i=\mathrm{A,B,C}} \frac{(B_i^{\mathrm{the}} - B_i^{\mathrm{exp}})^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right)$$

Me	asurement (BR Evaluation (MeV)			
Reg.	$E_{\mathrm{e}^+}^{exp}$	E_{γ}^{exp}	Reg.	E_{e^+}	E_{γ}
	> 51.7	> 51.7	А	> 50	> 50
П	20 - 51.7	55.6	В	> 10	> 50
III	> 55.6	20 - 51.7	С	> 50	> 10

L.P. Alonzi (UVa-PEN)

 17^{th} of April, 2012 18 / 26

PEN Event Trigger

Processes to Observe

- $\pi \to \mathrm{e}\nu$
- $\pi \to e \nu \gamma$
- $\pi
 ightarrow \mu \nu ~(
 ightarrow {
 m e} \nu)$ (norm)
- Traits to Prefer
 - Stopped Pion
 - Early Pion decay times
 - Large secondary energies



To understand the trigger is to understand the experiment.

PEN Event Trigger

Processes to Observe

- $\pi \to \mathrm{e}\nu$
- $\pi \to e \nu \gamma$
- $\pi \rightarrow \mu \nu ~(\rightarrow \mathrm{e} \nu)$ (norm)
- Traits to Prefer
 - Stopped Pion
 - Early Pion decay times
 - Large secondary energies



To understand the trigger is to understand the experiment.

PEN Detector Overview



PEN Detector Overview





Extracting $N_{\pi \to e \nu_e \gamma}$

• Raw Data ($\Delta t \equiv t_{
m e} - t_{\gamma}$)



Extracting $N_{\pi \to e \nu_e \gamma}$

- Raw Data ($\Delta t \equiv t_{
 m e} t_{\gamma}$)
- Particle ID



- Raw Data ($\Delta t \equiv t_{
 m e} t_{\gamma}$)
- Particle ID
- Hard Photons



- Raw Data ($\Delta t \equiv t_{
 m e} t_{\gamma}$)
- Particle ID
- Hard Photons
- Signal Region



- Raw Data $(\Delta t \equiv t_{
 m e} t_{\gamma})$
- Particle ID
- Hard Photons
- Signal Region
- Cross Check $(t_{
 m e}-t_{\pi})$



- Raw Data ($\Delta t \equiv t_{
 m e} t_{\gamma}$)
- Particle ID
- Hard Photons
- Signal Region
- Cross Check $(t_{
 m e}-t_{\pi})$
- Waveform Cut



- Raw Data ($\Delta t \equiv t_{
 m e} t_{\gamma})$
- Particle ID
- Hard Photons
- Signal Region
- Cross Check $(t_{
 m e} t_{\pi})$
- Waveform Cut
- Cross Check Again



- Raw Data ($\Delta t \equiv t_{
 m e} t_{\gamma})$
- Particle ID
- Hard Photons
- Signal Region
- Cross Check $(t_{
 m e} t_{\pi})$
- Waveform Cut
- Cross Check Again
- Final Data (Reg. A,B,C)



• Simulation Technique



Determining $A_{\pi \to e \nu_e \gamma}$

- Simulation Technique
- Degrader Wedges



- Simulation Technique
- Degrader Wedges
- Degrader Pairs



- Simulation Technique
- Degrader Wedges
- Degrader Pairs
- Beam Profile



- Simulation Technique
- Degrader Wedges
- Degrader Pairs
- Beam Profile
- Beam Momentum



- Simulation Technique
- Degrader Wedges
- Degrader Pairs
- Beam Profile
- Beam Momentum
- Wire Chambers



- Simulation Technique
- Degrader Wedges
- Degrader Pairs
- Beam Profile
- Beam Momentum
- Wire Chambers
- Plastic Hodoscope



- Simulation Technique
- Degrader Wedges
- Degrader Pairs
- Beam Profile
- Beam Momentum
- Wire Chambers
- Plastic Hodoscope
- Csl Calorimeter $(\pi \rightarrow e)$



- Simulation Technique
- Degrader Wedges
- Degrader Pairs
- Beam Profile
- Beam Momentum
- Wire Chambers
- Plastic Hodoscope
- Csl Calorimeter $(\pi
 ightarrow e)$
- Csl Calorimeter $(\pi \rightarrow \mu)$



PEN Run Summary

Channel event statistics from physics goals assessment, not published results.

Year	Run Time (days)	π -stops (10 ¹⁰)	$\pi ightarrow { m e}$ (10 ⁶)	$\pi ightarrow { m e}\gamma~(10^3)$
2008	111	7.5	4.5	5.8
2009	98	13.1	8.3	10.0
2010	68	16.4	10.3	12.5

Region	Events	P/B	$\sigma_{ m stat}$	$\sigma_{ m sys}$	$\sigma_{ m tot}$
I	291.9	>200	0.0592	0.0550	0.0808
II	421.3	100	0.0489	0.0406	0.0636
111	856.8	29	0.0344	0.0402	0.0529

Objective Function in the $F_V F_A$ – Plane (2008 data)



L.P. Alonzi (UVa-PEN)

17th of April, 2012 25 / 26

Objective Function in the $F_V F_A$ – Plane (2008 data)



Experiment R-05-01 (PEN) collaboration members:

L. P. Alonzi^a, V. A. Baranov^b, W. Bertl^c, M. Bychkov^a, Yu.M. Bystritsky^b, E. Frlež^a, V.A. Kalinnikov^b, N.V. Khomutov^b, A.S. Korenchenko^b, S.M. Korenchenko^b, M. Korolija^d, T. Kozlowski^e, N.P. Kravchuk^b, N.A. Kuchinsky^b, M.C. Lehman^a, D. Mekterović^d, D. Mzhavia^{b, f}, A. Palladino^{a, c}, D. Počanić^a*, P. Robmann^g, A.M. Rozhdestvensky^b, S.N. Shkarovskiy^b, U. Straumann^g, I. Supek^d, P. Truöl^g, Z. Tsamalaidze^f, A. van der Schaaf^{g*}, E.P. Velicheva^b, and V.P. Volnykh^b

^aDept of Physics, Univ. of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904-4714, USA ^bJoint Institute for Nuclear Research, RU-141980 Dubna, Russia ^cPaul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland ^dInstitut "Rudjer Bošković", HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia ^eInst. Problemów Jądrowych im. Andrzeja Sołtana PL-05-400 Swierk, Poland ^fIHEP, Tbilisi State University, GUS-380086 Tbilisi, Georgia ^gPhysik Institut der Universität Zürich, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

Web page: http://pen.phys.virginia.edu

Tree Level Pion Decay



Mirror Symmetry: $(x \rightarrow -x, y \rightarrow -y, z \rightarrow -z)$



Mirror Symmetry: $(x \rightarrow -x, y \rightarrow -y, z \rightarrow -z)$

SPIN WOLNEWOW

The Left-Handed Force



 $\mathcal{M} \sim \bar{u}(p)\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma^{5})\nu(k)$ Helicity $\equiv \vec{S} \bullet \vec{P}$

Helicity is **not** a Lorentz invariant. Violation \sim mass

mTPC Technical Specifications



- Proportional Region: 40x6x40 mm
- Drift Region: 40x40x50 mm
- Drift Gas: 90% He and $10\% C_2H_6$
- 4000 V across drift region
- Grid: 50 μ m wires with 1 mm spacing
- Nichrome Anode Wires
 - 40 mm length
 - \circ 20 μ m diameter
 - 10 mm spacing
 - \circ 235 Ω resistance
- CAEN VME digitizer V1720

Fabricated by our collaborators from Dubna, Russia





Waveform Digitization





- x: charge division
- y: drift time
- z: wire location

L.P. Alonzi (UVa-PEN)

Results from 2009 Data Run



2010 mTPC (Mark II)



mTPC Coordinate Calibration



- MWPC coordinates well known
- Calibrate mTPC with MWPC

mTPC coordinate Resolution



mTPC coordinate Resolution



$$\delta_i = i_2 - i_1 + \frac{(i_0 - i_3)}{3}$$
$$\sigma_i = \frac{RMS_{\delta_i}}{\sqrt{1^2 + 1^2 + (1/3)^2 + (1/3)^2}}$$

 $\Rightarrow \sigma_x < 1.3 \text{ mm (charge division)} \\\Rightarrow \sigma_y < 0.35 \text{ mm (drift time)}$

Target Waveform Digitization



Background Suppression



L.P. Alonzi (UVa-PEN)

17th of April, 2012 38 / 26

Background Suppression

Before





